

University of Latvia
Faculty of Education and Psychology

University Louis Pasteur Strasbourg 1
Faculty of Psychology and Educational Sciences
France

ALEXANDER SOKOL

**DEVELOPMENT OF INVENTIVE THINKING IN LANGUAGE
EDUCATION**
(PhD Thesis)

Advisers:
Prof. I.Kramiņa (Latvia)
Prof. M.Sonntag (France)

Riga 2007

Table of Content

Table of Content	ii
List of Tables	v
List of Figures	vii
List of Acronyms	ix
List of Appendices	x
Introduction	11
Chapter 1. Teaching Thinking	18
1.1. Reasons for teaching thinking. Driving problem of education	18
1.1.1. <i>Need for changes</i>	18
1.1.2. <i>Driving problem of education</i>	21
1.1.3. <i>Aims for teaching thinking</i>	21
1.2. Current situation in teaching thinking	23
1.2.1. <i>Programmes</i>	23
1.2.2. <i>Approaches</i>	24
1.2.3. <i>Theoretical frameworks</i>	24
1.2.4. <i>Conclusions</i>	25
1.3. Driving problem of education in approaches to teaching thinking	25
1.3.1. <i>Teaching for Understanding</i>	25
1.3.1.1. <i>General description</i>	25
1.3.1.2. <i>Aim and theoretical basis</i>	27
1.3.1.3. <i>Conclusions</i>	27
1.3.2. <i>Critical thinking</i>	28
1.3.2.1. <i>General description</i>	28
1.3.2.2. <i>Aim and theoretical basis</i>	30
1.3.2.3. <i>Conclusions</i>	32
1.3.3. <i>Philosophy for Children</i>	32
1.3.3.1. <i>General description</i>	32
1.3.3.2. <i>Aim and theoretical basis</i>	34
1.3.3.3. <i>Conclusions</i>	34
1.3.4. <i>Root of problem: missing theory</i>	35
1.4. The General Theory of Powerful Thinking as an alternative theory for teaching thinking	37
1.4.1. <i>General information</i>	37
1.4.2. <i>Models</i>	38
1.4.3. <i>Technologies</i>	39
1.4.4. <i>Life strategy</i>	40
1.4.5. <i>Summary</i>	41
1.5. Model of Education based on the General Theory of Powerful Thinking	42
1.5.1. <i>Resolution of the driving problem</i>	42
1.5.2. <i>Syllabus design: inventive thinking skills and dispositions</i>	43
1.5.3. <i>Distinctive features of the proposed system of inventive thinking skills and dispositions</i>	44
1.5.3.1. <i>Underlying basis for lists of skills and dispositions</i>	44
1.5.3.2. <i>Instrumentality</i>	45

1.5.3.3. Dispositional element	46
1.6. Summary	47
Chapter 2. Integrated Development of Inventive Thinking and Language Skills. The Thinking Approach to Language Teaching and Learning	48
2.1. The Level of Approach.....	48
2.1.1. <i>Introduction</i>	48
2.1.2. <i>Views of Language</i>	49
2.1.3 <i>Views of Learning</i>	54
2.1.4. <i>Language and Learning</i>	56
Central competence.....	56
Types of Approaches.....	58
2.1.5. <i>TA – Level of Approach. Summary</i>	59
2.2. The Level of Design.....	62
2.2.1. <i>The general and specific objectives of the method</i>	62
2.2.2. <i>A syllabus model</i>	63
2.2.2.1. Technologies and materials.....	64
2.2.2.2. Type of work	65
2.2.2.3. Outcome	65
2.2.2.4. Inventive thinking skills and dispositions	66
2.2.2.5. Number of lessons.....	66
2.2.2.6. Syllabus – Summary.....	66
2.2.3. <i>Types of learning and teaching activities</i>	67
2.2.3.1. The Creative Grammar Technology	67
2.2.3.2. The Text and the Film Technologies	87
2.2.3.3. The Self-Study Technology	106
2.2.3.4. The Research Technology	119
2.2.3.5. The Yes-No Technology.....	123
2.2.3.6. Technologies: summary	128
2.2.3.7. Typical concerns about forms of work offered in TA	129
2.2.4. <i>Learner roles</i>	132
2.2.4.1. Types of learning tasks set for learners	132
2.2.4.2. Degree of control learners have over the content of learning	133
2.2.4.3. Patterns of learner groupings that are recommended or implied	133
2.2.4.4. Degree to which learners influence the learning of others	133
2.2.4.5. The view of the learner as a processor, performer, initiator, problem solver, etc	134
2.2.5. <i>Teacher roles</i>	135
2.2.5.1. Types of functions teachers fulfil	135
2.2.5.2. Degree of teacher influence over learning	136
2.2.5.3. Degree to which the teacher determines the content of learning.....	137
2.2.5.4. Types of interaction between teachers and learners.....	137
2.2.6. <i>The role of instructional materials</i>	137
2.2.6.1. Primary function of materials	137
2.2.6.2. The form materials take	138
2.2.6.3. Relation of materials to other input.....	139
2.3. The Level of Procedure.....	141
2.3.1. <i>Role of Procedures in the Thinking Approach</i>	141
2.3.2. <i>Procedures in the Creative Grammar Technology</i>	141
2.3.2.1. Procedures at the level of task	141
2.3.2.2. Procedures at the level of system	144
2.3.2.3. Change of procedures in time	146
2.3.3. <i>Procedures in the Text and the Film Technologies</i>	148
2.3.3.1. Procedures at the level of task	148
2.3.3.2. Procedures at the level of system	156
2.3.3.3. Change of procedures in time	158

2.3.4. <i>Procedures in the Self-Study Technology</i>	160
2.3.4.1. Procedures at the level of task	160
2.3.4.2. Procedures at the level of system	163
2.3.4.3. Change of procedures in time	164
2.3.5. <i>Procedures in the Research Technology</i>	166
2.3.5.1. Procedures at the level of task	166
2.3.5.2. Procedures at the level of system	169
2.3.5.3. Change of procedures in time	171
2.3.6. <i>Procedures in the Yes-No Technology</i>	172
2.3.6.1. Procedures at the level of task	172
2.3.6.2. Procedures at the level of system	174
2.3.6.3. Change of procedures in time	175
2.4. Summary	177
Chapter 3. Efficacy of the Thinking Approach to Language Teaching	180
3.1. Research Methodology	180
3.1.1. <i>Background</i>	180
3.1.2. <i>Setting</i>	182
3.1.3. <i>Participants</i>	183
3.1.4. <i>Data collection tools</i>	185
3.1.4.1. Inventive thinking test.....	185
3.1.4.2. Language proficiency test.....	191
3.1.4.3. Questionnaire	194
3.1.4.4. Interview	195
3.2. Findings: language	199
3.2.1. <i>Language proficiency test</i>	199
3.2.2. <i>Questionnaire</i>	201
3.2.3. <i>Interview</i>	205
3.3. Findings: inventive thinking skills	211
3.3.1. <i>Inventive thinking test</i>	211
3.3.2. <i>Questionnaire</i>	216
3.3.3. <i>Interview</i>	221
3.4. Summary	226
Conclusions	229
References	236

List of Tables

Table 2.2.1. Objectives of the Three Vectors of TA.....	63
Table 2.2.2. Object of Choice with Technologies of TA.....	64
Table 2.2.3. Example of A Sorting Out Task.....	68
Table 2.2.4. Example of a Concept Question Task.....	72
Table 2.2.5. Demands to Concept Question Tasks in the TA Classroom.....	73
Table 2.2.6. Parameters and Values when Referring to Future in English.....	74
Table 2.2.7. Example of an Enhanced Practice Grammar Task.....	77
Table 2.2.8. Example of a Meaning Based Task.....	79
Table 2.2.9. Example of a Feature Training Task.....	80
Table 2.2.10. Groups of reflective tasks in CGT and their purposes.....	83
Table 2.2.11. Parts of system of tasks in Creative Grammar Technology.....	84
Table 2.2.12. Content generation task helping learners to see what the text does not say.....	88
Table 2.2.13. Content generation task helping learners' understand the reader's response to the text.....	89
Table 2.2.14. Content generation task helping learners bring the reality of the text to contact with other realities.....	90
Table 2.2.15. An Example of Co-authoring Task.....	91
Table 2.2.16. Examples of Transformation Tasks.....	92
Table 2.2.17. Inventive thinking skills mastered when working with Point of View tasks. Adapted from (Sokol, Galpern, & Lasevich, 2002).....	93
Table 2.2.18. Example of a Language Task Serving as Input for Bank 2.....	97
Table 2.2.19. Example of a Language Task Aimed at Changing the Text.....	97
Table 2.2.20. Examples of Lexical Language Tasks.....	97
Table 2.2.21. Examples of Mixed Language Task.....	100
Table 2.2.22. Examples of Project Tasks.....	100
Table 2.2.23. Examples of self-study tasks.....	101
Table 2.2.24. Names and Functions of Parts in the System of Tasks in TT and FT.....	103
Table 2.2.25. Planning Activities in Various Technologies of TA.....	112
Table 2.2.26. Self-Assessment Activities in TA Technologies.....	114
Table 2.2.27. Types of Portfolio in the TA Classroom. Features.....	116
Table 2.2.28. Types of Portfolio in the TA Classroom. Functions.....	116
Table 2.2.29. Types of Portfolio in TA Classroom. Content.....	117
Table 2.2.30. Yes-No Problems with Linear Features.....	124
Table 2.2.31. Yes-No Problems with Geographical Features.....	125
Table 2.2.32. Problems on Description of Objects.....	125
Table 2.2.33. Inventive Problems.....	127
Table 2.2.34. Explanatory problems.....	127
Table 2.3.1. Procedures for Dealing with Concept Question Task.....	141
Table 2.3.2. Example of a Content Generation Task.....	148
Table 2.3.3. Possibilities for teachers' feedback when working on task 4.1. to the text It Kills me by J D Salinger.....	150
Table 2.3.4. A possible variant of mechanical and task development procedures for task 4.1.....	151
Table 2.3.5. Activity to Help Learners Formulate Learning Goals.....	162
Table 3.1.1. Inventive thinking skills in September 2004 test.....	187

Table 3.1.2. Inventive thinking skills in May 2005 test.....	187
Table 3.1.3. Correlation between total scores on the test and scores on separate assessment parameters.	190
Table 3.1.4. The adjusted version of the FCE test used in the research.	193
Table 3.1.5. Interview - numerical data.	197
Table 3.1.6. Interview - textual data.	198
Table 3.2.1. General summary of t-test results of language proficiency test for experimental and comparison groups.	199
Table 3.2.2. Mean scores of experimental (TA) groups in the language part of the questionnaire conducted in May 2005. (maximum is 5)	201
Table 3.2.3. Students' answers to closed interview questions dealing with language and learning skills.	205
Table 3.2.4. Students' answers to closed questions of the interview dealing with the theme of language skills.	205
Table 3.3.1. General summary of t-test results for experimental and control groups.....	211
Table 3.3.2. The numbers of students demonstrating inventive thinking dispositions during September 2004 and May 2005 inventive thinking tests.....	212
Table 3.3.3. Mean scores of experimental (TA) groups in the inventive thinking part of the questionnaire conducted in May 2005. (maximum is 5) ...	216
Table 3.3.4. Students' answers to close interview related to the theme of thinking skills.	221
Table 3.3.5. Summary of students' answers to open questions of the interview related to the theme of thinking skills.	221

List of Figures

Fig. 1.4.1. OTSM Technologies. (N. N. Khomenko, 2005)	40
Fig. 1.4.2 Structure of OTSM (N. Khomenko, 2004).....	41
Fig.2.1.1. Relationship between communicative and problem solving competencies.	57
Fig.2.2.1. Types of Tasks in Creative Grammar Technology.....	68
Fig.2.2.2. Grammar Bank 2. Possible Structure	82
Fig.2.2.3. Cycle of working with Creative Grammar Technology.....	86
Fig.2.2.4. A Structure of Work in TT.	104
Fig.2.2.5. Connections Between Goals, Objectives and Activities.....	109
Fig.2.2.6. The Self-Study Technology	118
Fig.2.2.7. Structure of the Research Technology	122
Fig.2.2.8. Relationships between TA Technologies.....	129
Fig.2.2.9. Development of Language Skills in TA Classroom.....	131
Fig. 2.3.1. Change of Focus in Creative Grammar Technology with Time.	147
Fig. 2.3.2. Change of Focus in TT and FT with Time.	159
Fig. 2.3.3. Change of Focus in the Self-Study Technology	165
Fig. 2.3.4. Change of Focus in the Research Technology.....	172
Fig. 2.3.5. Change of Focus in the Research Technology.....	176
Fig.3.2.1. Summary of September 2004 language test results of experimental (TA) groups. (N=82)	199
Fig.3.2.2. Summary of May 2005 language test results of experimental (TA) groups. (N=82)	199
Fig.3.2.3. Summary of September 2004 language test results of control (non- TA) groups. (N=19).....	200
Fig.3.2.4. Summary of May 2005 language test results of control (non-TA) groups. (N=19)	200
Fig.3.2.5. Enjoyment from learning English with TA programme.....	202
Fig.3.2.6. Enjoyment from materials offered for learning English.	202
Fig.3.2.7. Usefulness of Study Materials for the Development of Language Skills.	202
Fig.3.2.8. Satisfaction with Progress in English in Comparison with Progress in Other Languages.....	202
Fig.3.2.9. Usefulness of Autonomous Learning for the Development of Language Skills	202
Fig.3.2.10. Pleasure from Learning.	202
Fig.3.2.11. Improvement of Language Skills in Forms 8-9.	203
Fig.3.2.12. Improvement of language skills in forms 10-11.	203
Fig.3.2.13. Students' evaluation of their progress in reading.....	206
Fig.3.2.14. Students' evaluation of their progress in writing.	206
Fig.3.2.15. Students' evaluation of their progress in listening.	206
Fig.3.2.16. Students' evaluation of their progress in speaking.	206
Fig.3.2.17. Students' Evaluation of Their Progress in English.....	207
Fig.3.2.18. Students' Evaluation of a Change in Language Skills During Working with TA.	207

Fig.3.2.19. Students' evaluation of changes in their approach to independent learning.	208
Fig.3.2.20. Changes in the Approach to Independent Learning.	208
Fig.3.2.21. Students' Evaluation of Changes in Planning Skills.....	208
Fig.3.2.22. Students' Evaluation of Changes in Self-Assessment Skills.....	208
Fig.3.2. 23. Students' Evaluation of Ability to Learn a Language without a Teacher.	209
Fig.3.2.24. Type of Changes in the Approach to Independent Learning.	209
Fig.3.2.25. Comparison of TA with "Traditional" Courses in Foreign Language.	209
Fig.3.2.26. Comparison of TA Tasks with "Traditional" Tasks in Learning a Foreign Language.	209
Fig.3.3.1. Summary of September 2004 thinking test results of experimental (TA) groups. (N=73)	211
Fig.3.3.2. Summary of May 2005 thinking test results of experimental (TA) groups. (N=73)	211
Fig.3.3.3. Summary of September 2004 thinking test results of control (non-TA) groups. (N=27).....	211
Fig.3.3.4. Summary of May 2005 thinking test results of control (non-TA) groups. (N=27)	211
Fig.3.3.5. Students' evaluation of usefulness of materials for the development of their inventive thinking skills.	217
Fig.3.3.6. Students' evaluation of their use of inventive thinking skills outside the classroom.	217
Fig.3.3.7. Students' evaluation of the TA programme.	217
Fig.3.3.8. Students' evaluation of the degree to which they enjoy problem solving.	217
Fig.3.3.9. Students' evaluation of their improvement in inventive thinking skills in forms 8-9.	218
Fig.3.3.10. Students' evaluation of their improvement in inventive thinking skills in forms 10(-11)	218
Fig.3.3.11. Histogram of students' satisfaction with the TA programme in May 2005.	222
Fig. 3.3.12. Students' wish to study other languages with the TA Programme.	223
Fig. 3.3.13. Students wish to study other subjects with the TA programme. .	223
Fig. 3.3.14. Changes in Students' Problem Solving Skills.....	224
Fig. 3.3.15. Changes in the Way of Thinking.....	224
Fig. 3.3.16. What Changed in Students' Problem Solving Skills.....	225
Fig. 3.3.17. Specific Things that Improved Problem Solving Skills.	225
Fig.3.3.18. Features of the TA based teaching.....	225
Fig.3.3.19. What makes the TA different?	225

List of Acronyms

ARIZ	Algorithm of Inventive Problem Solving
CA	Communicative Approach
CGT	Creative Grammar Technology
CQ	Concept Question
ELT	English Language Teaching
ENV	Element – Name of Feature – Value of Feature
EP	Enhanced Practice
FCE	First Certificate in English
FeT	Feature Training
FT	Film Technology
MB	Meaning Based
OTSM	General Theory of Powerful Thinking
RT	Research Technology
SD	Standard Deviation
SO	Sorting Out
SST	Self-Study Technology
TA	Thinking Approach
TfU	Teaching for Understanding
TBI	Task Based Instruction
TRIZ	Theory of Inventive Problem Solving
TRTL	Theory of Creative Personality Development
TT	Text Technology
YNT	Yes-No Technology
ZPD	Zone of Proximal Development

List of Appendices

Appendix 1.1	OTSM-TRIZ Skills and Dispositions.
Appendix 2.1	Examples of TA Syllabi.
Appendix 2.2	A System of Creative Grammar Tasks. Speaking about Future.
Appendix 2.3	A Sample Concept Question Task.
Appendix 2.4	A System of Tasks in Text and Film Technologies.
Appendix 2.5	Sample Materials in the Self-Study Technology.
Appendix 2.6	Sample Materials in the Research Technology.
Appendix 3.1	Thinking Test Samples.
Appendix 3.2	Questionnaire Samples.
Appendix 3.3	Interview Questions.
Appendix 3.4	Summary of analysis of inventive thinking tests results with a t-test.